WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes	No	Notes
CR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CR F	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		Talan and the second
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		×	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	ilmainiminintagihti ilus ilu	X	ini ini manini mani
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	The second secon
9	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	Pariferna de procedor de la Colonia de l
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	